United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			ORDER OF DETENTION	
V.			PENDING TRIAL	
David Arias-Lopez			Case Number: 1:06-cr-00323	
facts re		accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following	
	(1)	Part I – Findir The defendant is charged with an offense described in a offense state or local offense that would have been jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(an offense for which the maximum sentence is life in an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonments.)	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal a)(4). mprisonment or death.	
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pres	d been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described e or local offenses. e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state he date of conviction release of the defendant from umption that no condition or combination of conditions will the community. I further find that the defendant has not	
	. ,	☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	as committed an offense ears or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act shed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions	
X		Alternate Fir There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appea There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger		
	I fin	Part II – Written Statement of that the credible testimony and information submitted a	of Reasons for Detention t the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that	
		dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest dant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be relea	•	
appeal. the Uni	ions f . The ted S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons a defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for	eneral or his designated representative for confinement in a waiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
January 4, 2007			en S. Carmody	
Date		Signat	ure of Judge	
		Ellen	S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge	
			and Title of Judge	